

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,642	06/26/2003	Vincent J. Zimmer	42P16429	8722
7590 08/23/2006			EXAMINER	
Cory G. Claassen BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			TREAT, WILLIAM M	
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2181	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/607,642	ZIMMER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William M. Treat	2181			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 29-37 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 29-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	r election requirement.  r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the light and the drawing(s) is objected to by the light and the drawing(s) is objected to by the light and the drawing(s) is objected to by the light and the drawing(s) is objected to by the light and the drawing(s) is objected to by the light and the light	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/06	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:				

Art:Unit: 2181

- 1. Claims 1-18 and 29-37 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-15, 17-18, 29-35, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Datta (Patent No. 6,081,890).
- 4. After reading Datta, which has the <u>same assignee</u> as applicants' invention, the examiner is unable to discern any patentable differentiation in applicants' claims.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/607,642

Art Unit: 2181

7. Claims 7, 16, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Datta (Patent No. 6,081,890).

Page 3

- 8. Datta teaches independent claims 1, 10, and 29 from which claims 7, 16, and 36 depend, respectively. (See paragraphs 3 and 4, *supra*.) While he specifically taught his invention applied to IA-32 and IA-64 ISAs, he did not recite its use with 16-bit code as applicants claim in claims 7, 16, and 36. However, he does say at col. 7, lines 61-63: "while the disclosed embodiments refer to IA-32 and IA-64 ISAs, the invention is applicable to other ISAs" (i.e., it could be applied to a 16-bit ISA, too).
- 9. Claims 1-18 and 29-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) based upon a public use or sale of the invention. As best the examiner is able to determine, applicants' claimed invention and the invention of Datta's patent are both directed to the Intel Itanium processor line. The examiner is supplying applicants with a copy of an Intel press release dated Oct. 4, 1999 and entitled "Intel Selects Itanium as the New Brand Name for its First in a Family of IA-64 Processors" stating engineering samples of the Itanium processor chips had been sent to Intel customers and that production was scheduled for 2000. If the functionality for Datta's invention was incorporated into the Itanium processors produced in 2000, this would constitute an on-sale bar to applicants' claimed invention.
- 10. The examiner provided applicants with the Datta reference in his first action though the obscure nature of their claims, at that time, did not permit its application. It is hard for the examiner to understand why someone working for Intel on the same problem addressed by Datta would be unaware of his work. The examiner would

Application/Control Number: 10/607,642

Art Unit: 2181

Page 4

remind applicants that 37 CFR 1.56 requires parties identified in 37 CFR 1.56(c) to disclose to the Office information material to the patentability of the claimed subject matter.

- 11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 and 29-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 13. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to William M.
   Treat at telephone number (571) 272-4175.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,642

Art Unit: 2181

Page 5

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M = 1

WILLIAM M. TREAT PRIMARY EXAMINER